

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/852,942	(05/10/2001	Geofrey S. Strongin	TT3757	TT3757 5373	
23720	7590	12/08/2004		EXAM	INER	
	,	GAN & AMERSO	LANIER, BI	LANIER, BENJAMIN E		
10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100 HOUSTON, TX 77042				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			2132		

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/852,942	STRONGIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Benjamin E Lanier	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	:x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 May 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/1/2001. 	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/852,942 Page 2

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71, as being so incomprehensible as to preclude a reasonable search of the prior art by the examiner. For example, the following items are not understood: There appears to be multiple embodiments of the present invention described in the specification in an interrelated fashion that fails to clearly support the claimed limitations.

Applicant is required to submit an amendment which clarifies the disclosure so that the examiner may make a proper comparison of the invention with the prior art.

Applicant should be careful not to introduce any new matter into the disclosure (i.e., matter which is not supported by the disclosure as originally filed).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this letter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims include

limitations involving first and second states as well as a switching mechanism that is not clearly supported by the specification.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for 4. failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claims 1-29 include limitations that appear to claim different entities in a duplicate fashion. For instance claim 1 contains a limitation that includes a switching mechanism coupled between the processor and the bridge, and claim 3 contains the limitation that includes a bridge coupled between the processor and the bridge. The claims and the specification fail to provide a clear explanation as to whether these elements are the same or provide a relationship between the given elements if they are to be considered separate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, 4 are rejected, to the extent understood, under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Frank, U.S. Patent No. 6,546,489. Referring to claims 1, 2, 4, Frank discloses a

computer system that provides a secure boot procedure wherein a host microprocessor has an active state and an inactive state (Col. 5, lines 6-29 & Fig. 2). When in the inactive state, the host processor is prevented from accessing memory array, which meets the limitations of a processor, a bridge coupled to the processor, a memory selectably coupled to the bridge and the processor, and a switching mechanism coupled between the memory and each of the processor and the bridge, wherein the switching mechanism includes a first state providing access from the processor to the memory and a second state providing access from the bridge to the memory. The memory controller (Fig. 2, 339) would meet the specific limitation of the switching mechanism. Figure 2 shows control logic (334), which would meet the limitation of control logic couple to the switching mechanism for controlling changes between the first state and second state.

Claims 5-29 have not been examined with respect to the merits due to the indefiniteness of the specification and the claims and their failure to clearly point out Applicant's invention.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin E Lanier whose telephone number is 703-305-7684, and 571-272-3805 after October 21st. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th0 7:30am-5:00pm, F 7:30am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (703)305-1830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,942

Art Unit: 2132

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benjamin E. Lanier

THOMAS R. PEESO PRIMARY EXAMINER